FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

DEC 28 2007

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DAVID AUGUST KILLE, SR.,

No. 06-17373

Plaintiff - Appellant,

D.C. No. CV-06-00619-LRH

v.

MEMORANDUM*

TIMOTHY O'BRIEN; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Nevada Larry R. Hicks, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 20, 2007**

Before: GOODWIN, WALLACE, and HAWKINS, Circuit Judges.

David August Kille, Sr., a Nevada state prisoner, appeals pro se from the district court's order dismissing for failure to state a claim his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging that defendants violated his constitutional rights by conspiring to

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

convict him of certain crimes. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo. *Osborne v. Dist. Attorney's Office for the Third Judicial Dist.*, 423 F.3d 1050, 1052 (9th Cir. 2005) (dismissal pursuant to *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477 (1994)); *Ramirez v. Galaza*, 334 F.3d 850, 853 (9th Cir. 2003) (dismissal under screening provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915A). We may affirm on any basis supported by the record. *United States v. State of Wash.*, 969 F.2d 752, 755 (9th Cir. 1992). We affirm.

The district court properly dismissed Kille's section 1983 action as *Heck*-barred because his allegations necessarily implicate the validity of his conviction, and Kille failed to prove that his conviction was reversed, expunged, or otherwise called into question. *See Heck*, 512 U.S. at 486-87.

To the extent Kille alleges a legal injury caused by a family court judgment against him, the district court lacked subject-matter jurisdiction pursuant to the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine because Kille's appeal amounted to a de facto appeal of a state court judgment. *See Noel v. Hall*, 341 F.3d 1148, 1163 (9th Cir. 2003) (*Rooker-Feldman* bars the federal plaintiff from complaining of a legal injury caused by a state court judgment, based on an allegedly erroneous legal ruling, in a case in which the federal plaintiff was one of the litigants).

Kille's outstanding motions are denied. No further motions will be entertained in this case.

AFFIRMED.